

(18)

0860-01

From: Carolanne Reynolds [EditorWVM@WestVan.org]
Sent: March 31, 2009 10:23 AM
To: MayorandCouncil
Cc: Richard Laing; Sheila Scholes
Subject: BUDGET Questions for Mar 30 (Complete)

Unfortunately an important reply by Cclr Smith to a question posed by the Mayor was inadvertently omitted from the letter sent Sunday. It has been inserted in green in a larger font in the original email appended below. Presumably the records ought to be complete.

The exchange was:

Mayor: The Terms of Ref of the FCmte have never included, I don't believe, comments on the budget. It's more to do with the process. Is that right? we've got two mbrs of the FCmte right here.

MS: No. It depends on how you interpret the TofRef. The bottom line is we did not, at the FCmte, we did not review the budget. That's the answer to the question.

Sent Mar 29: Mayor and Council Sunday, 3:51 AM 17 BUDGET Questions for Mar 30

Some Questions on Budget 2009

Since in previous years, some answers have not been supplied and sometimes told not sure what the question was, herewith (may also help for the drafting of the ccl mtg minutes) a transcript of my remarks at the Mar 23rd ccl meeting with questions clarified and numbered in RED (to 14).

DRAFT BUDGET 2009

Thank you for arranging a mtg on the budget for Monday Mar 30th.

MARCH 23rd CCL MTG NOTES (excerpt)

ITEM 5

CR: Thank you for this opportunity. Sorry, I didn't get time to type things out -- family obligations; Carolanne Reynolds, Editor of West Van Matters -- but I've jotted down a few things that I hope you will consider.

It is a challenge to go to zero per cent but I know last year the Finance Cmte was talking about a budget with the scenario that was minus 5% and minus 10% to see what wd happen.

Unfortunately at the input mtg, or the Mar 2nd mtg, they [meaning staff or Ccl] said they cdn't do that. It might take too much time to do the zero, five, and ten, but perhaps you cd do zero and 5%. The cost of living I understand right now is just over 1%, so we wonder about a 3% increase

that you've got here and for some salaries. I never did get an answer to my question last May of why in the IT Dept, the average increase in salaries was 9%.

1a. Wd it be possible to reduce each dept by 3% (so zero increase) or wd it be preferable to have an average of 3% reduction (so that some depts stay the same as in the draft and others have higher reductions)?

1b. Can any adjustments be made now since inflation cost of living is now around 4%?

1c. Is it possible to get the information wrt the Information Technology Dept salary increases 2007 to 2008, and now 2008 to 2009?

I also -- there are some other things we want more details -- I also noticed that at the **Cmnty Ctr announcement**, and we have an excellent Communications Dept, was outsourced and **a private company was paid to do the press release. Why was this?**

{why not use inhouse? why pay extra? and I forgot to ask then, how much the private company was paid.}

2a. Why wasn't inhouse expertise used?

2b. Was the contract put out to tender, for competitive bids?

2c. How much was paid to Noble Communications?

I asked last year also if there were **guidelines for the tendering process**, so that you cd make sure that you got a competitive bid. We know of some xx things that went out for bid that were for about a \$1M, but they didn't go out for tendering.

{clumsily said; what is meant that some contracts have been awarded that did not go out to tender to get competitive bids with the obvious risk of overpaying.}

So I think looking at the **tendering policies wd help lower your costs.**

3. What is the tendering policy?

including what amounts decided by staff and how much for Ccl.

The CAO mentioned **re-organization** and that it wd be explained. Really great, but it wd be really appreciated if the CAO cd make that **explanation [about] re-organization perhaps at a ccl mtg so we can all hear it-- and perhaps putting it on the web[site].**

4. Where can a description of the new re-organization be found?

I spent a lot of time on Friday trying to find the budget on the web[site]. You have to go through several clicks before you can even find it.

{UPDATE: Budget 2009 must have been put on the DWV homepage Monday Mar 23, b/c I saw when checking after the mtg}

ah I also asked last year and **wd like to ask again this year for the salaries that are reported.** I know they don't have to be reported till June but b/c the T4s have to be in the end of February, you **shd hv an idea of the salaries for 2008** to see how they're comparing now.

{For the salaries for 2007, pls see WVM2008-25 on www.westvan.org}

5. Will you release the salary info completed in February for the Mar 30 mtg, and if not how soon after?

So I think I support the other ppl who said that **zero-based budgeting** -- I've been asking for that for

many years, and ...time... you don't have to do that every year but you **shd at least do it at one point so that you get that base to go on from.**

6. If zero-based budgeting not done for this year, will it be done for next year's budget?

So my main question is, I think we **shd be given more opportunity and time, it's a 70-page booklet** {budget}. It's a lot to look at, and I think the citizens wd v much appreciate more time for looking it over and reviewing it, and helping you, giving some ideas and recommendations to try to keep the taxes down.

7. Will more time be allowed for public input? more public mtgs? Mtgs during the month of April (b/c Budget has until May 15 to be adopted)?

This wd also give time for the Finance Cmte to see the budget since their next mtg is scheduled for April 8.

I know that there are many ppl on fixed incomes now, and they've talked to me. It's not just that their pensions are fixed while other ppl's incomes go up, but a lot of ppl in this cmnty have invested their money to supplement their pensions and with the loss of stocks and bonds, there are many ppl suffering who wdn't even have thought about asking. I've also had ppl say, "I don't want to get up and speak and make it look as if I can't afford to pay my taxes, wd you pls do it."

Thank you.

{See my comments and further questions at PQP at end of mtg re the budget b/c the public is not allowed to speak once Ccl starts to discuss an item.}

20. PUBLIC QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

CR: Thank you. Just a few questions based on what I heard during the discussion if you don't mind. **It was brought up that there was \$31M for the capital budget without much information. Will we have that information before March 30th?**

8. Pls confirm amount and identify the projects for this year's capital budget.

Also, someone made reference to the Recreation Facilities Master Plan (RFMP) that was ten years in the making. Yes, but what was accepted was at a much lower budgeted dollar amount than what happened. And every time ADRA asked for the operating costs for the Ctr, we were told \$250K.

{For instance, the RFMP had the Aquatic Ctr as \$2.5M and it cost at least \$8M; the Cmnty Ctr was to be \$13M. Last info we had on the operating costs for the Cmnty Ctr is \$500K, ie twice what we were told.}

As for monthly deptal budget reports, the Police Dept does that every month, and they do an excellent job; That is really well done. Madam Mayor, I know you're chair of it [the Bd], but that's very well done, and that cd be a model for other depts.

9. Will depts start giving monthly budget reports, following the Police Dept's excellent example practice?

There seemed to be some confusion in some minds when they say [zero] -- there's a difference between a zero increase in the tax rate and a zero-based budget. A zero increase is something we'll have to look

at for efficiencies and getting other revenue; but a zero-based means you start at the bottom

{a cclr spoke as if latter same as former, so I was worried maybe some watching/listening wd too}

and take a look at what you're going to spend and justify it all the way up. And that's what's been recommended and, and that's why I said you don't necessarily have to do it every year but you have to do it at some point to get the base.

There's a, um, ah. No one seriously wants to cut back our dear Library, or our facilities, and so I don't think that shd be put as a boogeyman for not looking at the budget, but there are, for example, we were told [there's] land, **road ends and lanes, that cd be looked at and they can be sold to the nearby property owner**, who'd be happy to have it. We cd look at that.

{My example as revenue, not just cutting, to lower the increase.}

Mayor: We are.

CR: yes, good; that's good, thank you.

10a. Will the public be informed of what's being considered to be sold before the final decision?

10b. How much will be sold this year (anticipated revenue) and web parcels?

Now, I think everyone who's serious about running for Ccl will have looked at budgets before they're elected, so I don't really think that's a reason for not [xcoming,x] keeping the same time table, and having ppl come forward with constructive suggestions.

Now, as to the place if you're going to have, as someone else mentioned not everyone's on the Web *{Of course I meant a lot of ppl don't use computers, have them at home, and surf the Web.}*

-- may I suggest that you have some facility for ppl to comment, say at the Library, Srs' Ctr,--

Mayor: and the cmnty ctr

CR: yes, at the cmnty ctrs. I think that wd get around that b/c some ppl don't like that [using computers]

Also, I understand the Finance Cmte did not get to see the draft budget before it went to the March 2nd ccl mtg. Will they have seen it for the Mar 30th mtg, next Monday night? Will we have the benefit of their comments that night?

So that's several questions.

Otherwise, thank you v much for the Strategic Planning Initiative that you're doing. Thank you very much for scheduling a mtg on Monday night for more input. That's v much appreciated. We look forward to that.

Mayor: Thank you

CR: the two questions: when will they be answered?

Mayor: not sure that there were two

CR: main ones

Mayor: the main one, on the Finance Cmte, Mr McRadu, do you want to update us on that?

CAO: There will not be a FCmte mtg prior to next Monday.

Mayor: That answers that question.

CR: so that means the FCmte did not get to see the budget to comment.

Now the last I heard was that it was perhaps going to be a FCmte mtg on Thursday this week *{no, it was to be the 25th, not the 26th as I'd thought, but had I been more combative I wd hv asked why not!}*

Mayor: The Terms of Ref of the FCmte have never included, I don't believe, comments on the budget. It's more to do with the process. Is that right? we've got two mbrs of the FCmte right here.

MS: No. It depends on how you interpret the TerRef. The bottom line is we did not, at the FCmte, we did not review the budget. That's the answer to the question.

er, um. As I wrote in an earlier msg:

On the Finance Cmte webpage: <http://www.westvancouver.ca/Government/Level3.aspx?id=2884>

How wd you interpret:

The Finance Committee provides recommendations regarding the budget, financial policy and process issues to Council.

and

Committee members may review and comment on annual and long-term budgets, and quarterly financial reports, as referred to them by Council.

?}

11. Last year and this, many expected the FCmte with mbrs selected for expertise, so will the FCmte mtg be given the draft 2009 Budget before the Apr 8 mtg thus giving us the benefit of their knowledge?

Mayor: and I think we're going to be bringing a report forward to Ccl for how the FCmte is going to operate in 2009, and that's a reflection of a couple of things: Ccl's own budget process

{ah... indicative of the public and cmte still being left out?}

and also some questions it has that a WG cd work on, so we may split into two different aspects of dealing with our budget process.

12. When? for 2010, presumably and or as a result of the Strategic Vision Planning

What was your other question?

CR: no, b/c I know the Fiscal Sustainability Task Force had made recommendations and so did the FCmte--

{was interrupted but I know last year when the FCmte was appointed it was said it was too late for them to look at Budget 2008 but they cd look at Budget 2009. So what happened? Always a reason for the FCmte 'experts' not to review the draft budget?}

Mayor: I'd like to know what your other question is

CR: are we going to get some more details of the \$31M of the capital projects?

Mayor: that's the 2010 capital project, I believe. In 2009, we have really got v little in there and so it's maybe skewed the 2010 budget a little bit

CR: but whatever's in it you'll tell us?

Mayor: Mr McRadu, do you have anything further on that?

CAO: any information that you want, certainly our Dir/Finance can walk you through it.

CR: no, I think everyone is interested in what the capital budget is going to be spent on.

13. Capital spending projects info for both 2009 and 2010 wd be appreciated.

Thank you very much.

Mayor: sure; thank you.

21. ADJOURNMENT

14. At the Mar 4th Budget mtg a request was made to have notes from the meeting showing the answers given to the questions asked. Will these be submitted to Ccl to form part of the ccl mtg package and/or will they be put on the DWV webpage?

Thank you for your kind attention to these matters.

Yours thoughtfully,

Carolanne Reynolds * Editor, West Van Matters; t 926 8649; f 484 5992; www.westvan.org