

COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE UPDATE TO JULY 1, 2026 (8:30 a.m.)

Correspondence

- (1) 2 submissions, June 26 and 28, 2026, regarding Proposed Development Permit 22-037 (Lots B and C and 2480, 2510, and 2605 Wentworth Avenue) (Referred to the June 29, 2026 special Council meeting)**
- (2) 5 submissions, June 26-29, 2026 and undated, regarding Proposed: Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 4985, 2018, Amendment Bylaw No. 5465; Zoning Bylaw No. 4662, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 5466, 2026; and Development Permit 26-012 (1552 Esquimalt Avenue) (Referred to the June 29, 2026 Public Hearing)**
- (3) June 25, 2026, regarding “Dundarave” (Streetscape Request)**
- (4) West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce, June 26, 2026, regarding “An Evening with the Mayor - Monday, July 13”**
- (5) June 29, 2026, regarding “VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt”**
- (6) June 30, 2026, regarding “Happy Canada Day”**

Correspondence from Other Governments and Government Agencies

No items.

Responses to Correspondence

- (7) Senior Manager of Current Planning and Urban Design, June 29, 2026, response regarding Proposed Development Permit 22-037 (Lots B and C and 2480, 2510, and 2605 Wentworth Avenue) (Referred to the June 29, 2026 special Council meeting)**
- (8) Supervisor of Bylaw and Licensing Services, June 29, 2026, response regarding Sirens Installed by Lawson Creek**
- (9) Manager of Legislative Operations, June 29, 2026, response regarding “VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt”**

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2026 3:23 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: Permit 22-037

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

I think the addition of 31 lots is a great addition. The problem I see is all the traffic is going to exit onto Chairlift Road. At present the traffic flow on Chairlift Road stops twice a day for Collingwood school. It seems West Vancouver Police and West Vancouver bylaw enforcement cannot maintain traffic flow through the area or enforce the no parking areas. I am concerned that emergency vehicles cannot get above the school during before and after school pick up and drop offs. I would suggest an exit be created onto Folkstone way to help solve this problem. For those [REDACTED] s.22(1) area during the above times. It would help if the city had no parking and no stopping on either side of the street in the school area.

[REDACTED] s. 22(1)
[REDACTED]
West Vancouver B.C.
[REDACTED] s. 22(1)
[REDACTED] s. 22(1)

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2026 11:31 AM
To: correspondence
Cc: Planning Department; Michelle McGuire
Subject: Development Permit 22-037 – Request to Consider Original Natural Grade Before Placement of Fill

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Dear Mayor and Council / Legislative Services, I am writing regarding Development Permit 22-037 for Lots B and C and 2480, 2510, and 2605 Wentworth Avenue. [REDACTED] s. 22(1) and would like to place the following concern on the record for Council's consideration. During the Collingwood School expansion, fill was placed on portions of the land [REDACTED] s. 22(1). I respectfully request that any future development on these lands, including subdivision, siting, and building height review, be assessed with reference to the original natural grade of the site prior to the placement of fill, rather than relying only on the current finished grade. This is important to ensure that future construction is evaluated fairly and consistently, and that neighbouring properties are not adversely affected by development based on artificially raised grades. I understand that prior District staff correspondence indicated that notes had been placed in District records to identify that fill had been added to the site. I would appreciate confirmation that this historical condition will be taken into account in the review of any future development or building applications for these lands. Please include this correspondence in the public record for Council's consideration. Thank you for your time and attention. Sincerely, [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
[REDACTED] s. 22(1), West Van [REDACTED] s. 22(1)

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2026 3:30 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: Rezoning of 1552 Esquimalt Ave

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

This property was originally proposed as a rental site under the guidance of premier Eby, Now council is considering a strata tenure which is not needed at this time due to the vacancies currently existing in west vancouver, also lacking infrastructure and the congestion we all face each day. The offer by Wall Corp to provide rental units at the existing building on 15th. Street for ever, should not be used to persuade council in favour of rezoning 1552 site.If the rezoning does not provide a new rental building, then the application to build should be denied. Personally I think the site should not be developed at all as the neighbourhood is already over crowded and has to much traffic. Thankyou

[REDACTED] s. 22(1)

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2026 9:02 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: Official Submission: Public Hearing June 29, 2026

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

PRIVACY REQUEST: Please redact my name, email address, and [REDACTED] s. 22(1) from the public internet agenda package. I am providing my address below solely for the Clerk to verify that I am a resident [REDACTED] s. 22(1).

Date: June 26, 2026

To: Mayor and Council, District of West Vancouver

Via Email: correspondence@westvancouver.ca

Subject: OFFICIAL SUBMISSION: Public Hearing (June 29, 2026) – 1552 Esquimalt Avenue (Bylaws 5465 and 5466)

Dear Mayor Sager and Members of Council,

I am writing [REDACTED] s. 22(1) to express my strong opposition to OCP Amendment Bylaw No. 5465, Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 5466, and Development Permit 26-012.

I request that this letter be formally included in the official Public Hearing binder and the legal record for the June 29 meeting.

I urge Council to defeat these bylaws based on what appears to be a clear strategy by the developer to maximize immediate corporate profit while offloading long-term structural and financial liabilities onto our community and existing renters:

1. The "Rental Retention" Benefit is a Hollow Illusion

The staff report frames the "securing of the existing 185 rental units in perpetuity" as a major community benefit. However, these units are already occupied, highly utilized rental housing that cannot easily be strata-titled or demolished under current protections. The proposal essentially offers a status quo restriction as a primary justification to double the density on a single lot.

2. Elimination of New Rental Housing

When this project was originally introduced, it included a commitment to secure the new 19-storey tower as rental housing for an initial six-year period. The bylaws currently before Council have abandoned this commitment. The proposed tower is now 100% market strata condos from day one, shifting the project away from providing new rental options to the community.

3. The Subdivision Risks, Maintenance Refusals, and the Window Replacement Trap

Of significant concern is the proposal to legally subdivide this single lot into two separate parcels. The developer does not merely "struggle" with maintenance; they have consistently refused to perform basic, routine upkeep [REDACTED] s. 22(1) despite generating multiple thousands of dollars a month in rents [REDACTED] s. 22(1).

When the landlord finally performed external repairs and repainting two years ago, they immediately applied to the province for an Additional Rent Increase (ARI) to force the tenants to pay for it. The landlord has reportedly promised Council that they will replace the building's windows in the coming years. Given their established track record, there is a severe risk that the developer will use provincial capital expenditure loopholes to pass the entire multi-million-dollar cost of these windows onto the existing tenants via permanent rent hikes.

By separating the lots, the developer's apparent exit strategy is to construct and sell off 100% market strata condos on the new parcel, pocket the profits, and wash their hands of the site. This leaves the existing rental tower isolated on a separate lot, burdened by a backlog of refused maintenance, while the tenants face ongoing financial exploitation through capital repair rent surcharges. Because these two properties will still functionally rely on a shared, reconstructed underground parking garage, utility connections, and site access, divorcing them legally creates a strong probability of long-term operational, legal, and financial gridlock.

4. Provincial Mandates Should Not Justify Poor Land-Use Planning

While there is clear pressure from the provincial government for West Vancouver to rapidly increase housing supply, Council should resist treating this specific site as "low-hanging fruit" simply to meet quotas. Approving a problematic infill project because it uses an existing lot is a short-sighted approach. The community has repeatedly demonstrated that this particular location is entirely unsuited for this extreme level of localized density. The acute impacts on local traffic, construction noise, parking congestion, and the overall livability of the block remain entirely unresolved. Provincial targets must be met through responsible, widespread density, not by overwhelming single blocks with unsuitable proposals.

5. Execution of a Binding Tenant Protection Agreement Prior to Approval

While the District maintains a Tenant Protection Policy, a policy is only a guideline. Because this multi-year construction project involves demolishing and rebuilding s.22(1) parkade, Council should require the developer to enter into a legally binding Tenant Protection Agreement—secured via a formal Housing Agreement Bylaw—*prior* to any final adoption of the rezoning. This contract should guarantee rent-pegging protections, clear construction mitigation strategies, independent noise/vibration monitoring, and financial compensation for disruptions. Council should protect s.22(1) households currently living on site before granting a massive density windfall to a developer.

A developer should not be rewarded with massive OCP and zoning overrides while actively refusing to maintain the density they already own and placing their tenants under financial duress. I urge the Council to reject this application.

Sincerely,

s. 22(1)

[Redacted]
West Vancouver, BC

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 1:40 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: June 29 Public Hearing Comment - In Support of 1552 Esquimalt Ave

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Dear Mayor and Council, I am writing as a young resident who lives near Ambleside in support of the proposed residential project at 1552 Esquimalt Avenue.

I am able to live here through family, but finding opportunities to own a home or rent an apartment on my own feels increasingly out of reach. Projects like this help create more options for people who want to stay in the community.

One of the reasons I enjoy living near Ambleside is that it is such a walkable neighbourhood. I can easily access transit, grocery stores, restaurants, local shops, and other everyday services without needing to drive everywhere. It makes sense to add more homes in a location that already has the infrastructure and amenities to support residents.

I also appreciate that this proposal is an infill development. It adds new housing while securing the existing rental homes and does not require any current residents to be displaced.

Sincerely,
[REDACTED] s. 22(1)

s. 22(1)
West Vancouver, BC s. 22(1)
June 2026
s. 22(1)

Legislative Services
750 17th Street
West Vancouver, BC V7V 3T3

RE: 1552 Esquimalt Ave, Proposed rezoning and development

As a long-term s. 22(1) resident s. 22(1) I have the following questions and concerns with the proposal of a 19-storey infill tower.

A. Construction- related:

- *Where will site offices/trailers be located?
- *Where will construction-related delivery site be located? (e.g. Rebar, concrete, drywall)
- *Where will construction workers park?

1. Parking and street access for surrounding buildings:

a) At the applicant-held information meeting the interim parking “solution” provided garage parking options for registered users of the 1552 underground parking garages.

HOWEVER, no information addressed the following:

- b) Users of street parking on Duchess and on Esquimalt (short-term parkers and delivery/service vehicles) were not mentioned
- c) Need for uninterrupted Laneway access for 650-16th St. parking garage, waste management pick-up, contractors working on/in 650 16th St. Bldg.
- d) Access along Esquimalt (1555 and 1575) for Waste Mgmt. services, Emergency services, delivery, contractors and other service providers.
Note: neither of these buildings have appropriate lane access for larger sized vehicles.

NOTE: Esquimalt Ave provides the most direct route between the Police Dept parking garage and 15th Street --- potentially relevant in emergencies.

2. Trees along west side of Laneway and at NW corner of Laneway & Esquimalt Ave:

What guarantee of preservation, damage-free, of large trees (one a large redwood), all apparently on property of 650 16th St?

B. Nature and ‘breathing-space’:

The existing 1552 building, very bulky and dense (“brutal”), has been somewhat counterbalanced by the open space on the west side. Building another similar height tower adjacent to this gives a sense of the property being overwhelmed. **It creates too much bulk in one block of land.** While the building at 650 -16th street is a similar height, it has retained a balance of green spaces surrounding it so does not overburden the property.

I am disheartened that West Van (and the current Provincial Gov) choose to increase density at the expense of small, neighbourhood green spaces. Buildings should be part of natural surroundings, not overtake them. Lonsdale, West Georgia St, Capliano Rd. @ Marine Dr. are all examples of developments overtaking the calming aspects living as part of nature. Roof-top “gardens” do not compensate!

s. 22(1)

1552 Esquimalt

Your Worship, Members of Council

I make my comments today as the President of the Westshore Place Strata Council. Our 64-unit apartment complex is likely the most impacted by the Wall development, given that we're directly across the lane from the proposal. I should add, too, that in my capacity as a member of the West Vancouver Planning Committee, I recused myself from the discussion and subsequent vote ~~when~~^{when} the proposal was considered in mid-February of this year.

First, I wish to state that our Strata Council in no way opposes Wall's right as a property owner to redevelop its site. That said, we ask you to carefully consider the context of the proposal. In my many years as an urban designer, both nationally and internationally, I've come to realize that ~~when~~^{when} residents say they oppose density, they're ~~likely~~^{likely} saying they oppose building height.

Our preference for the Wall site would have been one that was introduced just after the pandemic whereby two mid-rise, mid-height apartment complexes, one fronting Duchess, the other fronting Esquimalt, were floated through the process. The advantage of ~~that~~^{that} notion was that at six-stories, it could be built using wood-frame construction; could have street facing townhouses at its base, and to the developer's benefit, be phased over time, as one apartment, then the other.

But if that idea is off table, consideration of the proposal before us should focus on compliance – in other words a compliant market condo development at 2.5 FAR, rather than the non-compliant 3.0 FAR application before us. To paraphrase Councillor Gambioli, at the June 09th Council Meeting, it is ironic that the first proposal before you, following the years-long Ambleside Local Area Plan process, should attempt to skirt the ~~building~~^{planning} bylaw out of the gate by asking for more density.

I've had many clients in the past staring down expensive land costs in trying to balance a development portfolio, but surely land costs cannot be a contributing ^{factor} fact for a request for 20% more density. Wall has owned the land since the early 1970s and amortized its cost early on when it built its rental tower fronting 15th Street.

So, my suspicion is that the developer is taking advantage of a tough market to squeeze additional density, thinking perhaps that a request for extra units will somehow solve housing affordability. It won't! Market condos in West Vancouver tend to have price points at the top of the housing market. The beneficiaries of this non-compliance proposal will be Wall, and Wall alone. Nearby residents will be the losers of this additional building height!

Last, ~~while I recognize that the district will lose Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) monies proportionate to the reduced size of development,~~ ~~I observe that we're in West Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, not Donald Trump's America where every transaction is based upon greed.~~ The measurement for approval of 1552 Esquimalt should be ^{on} a public benefit, ^{and with respect,} not ~~the~~ ^{any} mercantilism of the district and the developer combined.

Thank you,

Gary Andrishak

s. 22(1)

650 16 Street

West Vancouver BV

s. 22(1)

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2026 3:00 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: Dundarave

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Mayor and Council,

I am requesting assistance for Dundarave with regard to road repair and boulevard planting outside the ex Pierwell development.

The road has been badly patched since the road was torn up. There are no lines.

Both Dundarave and Ambleside are looking so run down and totally neglected. Why?

Thank you

[REDACTED] s. 22(1)

West Vancouver

BC

[REDACTED] s. 22(1)

Sent from my iPhone

From: West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce <info@westvanchamber.com>
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2026 6:59 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: An Evening with the Mayor - Monday, July 13

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address bounce-mc.us11_44199129.6431409-51979c12b5@mail4.sea31.mcsv.net. Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Unsubscribe

It appears that you have subscribed to commercial messages from this sender. To stop receiving such messages from this sender, you can unsubscribe.

[Click here to unsubscribe](#)

West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce

[View this email in your browser](#)



An Evening with the Mayor

Monday, July 13 | 5:30 – 9:00 p.m.

West Vancouver Yacht Club

Join the West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce for the annual **Evening with the Mayor**, a long-standing Chamber tradition featuring an evening of insightful discussion and valuable networking.

Hear from Mayor Mark Sager as he provides an update on current municipal priorities, Council initiatives, and issues impacting West Vancouver's business community. This non-partisan event includes a question-and-answer session, giving Chamber members and guests the opportunity to engage directly on topics that matter most to local businesses.

Whether you're a long-time Chamber member or new to the community, this is an excellent opportunity to stay informed, build relationships, and connect with fellow business and community leaders while taking part in an open and informative discussion on economic development, transportation, housing, and other key municipal issues.

Guests are encouraged to [submit questions](#) in advance to help ensure a broad range of topics can be addressed during the discussion.

The evening includes a selection of appetizers and desserts, a cash bar, and plenty of time to network with Chamber members, community leaders, and business professionals in the beautiful setting of the West Vancouver Yacht Club.

Tickets are limited - reserve your spot today!

WVCC Members \$60 / Non-Members \$75

TICKETS

Presenting Sponsor:

**British
Proport**

Sponsorship opportunities are available for businesses looking to increase their visibility while supporting one of the Chamber's signature annual events.

For more information, please contact the Chamber at info@westvancouverchamber.com.

Be part of West Vancouver's business community. Chamber membership connects you with local leaders, member event pricing, advocacy, and valuable networking opportunities. Businesses are welcome to join at any time.

Join now!

Develop valuable connections that lead to business growth and personal success. Access Chamber benefits only available to members.

Membership pays for itself...



SPONSORSHIP OPPORTUNITIES

Promote your business and help support the Chamber. Sponsor an event!

The West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce offers a variety of sponsorship opportunities that provide your business with the chance to be front and center in our community. Sponsors are an important part of our events! For further info: SPONSORSHIP

Facebook

Instagram

Website

LinkedIn

*Copyright © 2026 West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in at our website.*

Our mailing address is:
West Vancouver Chamber of Commerce
2235 Marine Drive
West Vancouver, Bc V7V 1K5
Canada

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#)

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 2:08 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

correspondence@westvancouver.ca

Subject: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt

Dear Legislative Services / Corporate Officer,

I am writing to verify receipt of my written submission regarding the **1552 Esquimalt Infill proposal**, which is on the agenda for tonight's Public Hearing (June 29, 2026).

I emailed my submission this past **Friday evening, June 26**, well ahead of today's noon deadline. However, I noticed it is not yet listed in the online correspondence attachments.

Could you please confirm that my email was successfully received and has been included in the Council binder/supplemental package for the Mayor and Council tonight and that it was properly redacted?

- [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
- [REDACTED]
- **Submission Date/Time:** Friday evening, June 26

Thank you for your assistance in ensuring my feedback is part of the official public record.

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2026 3:57 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: Happy Canada Day

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Dear Mayor and Council,

Thank you for your service for others in our community this Canada CA Day and every day of the week!

Kind regards,

[REDACTED] s. 22(1)
West Vancouver BC

From: Michelle McGuire
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 9:51 AM
To: s. 22(1)
Cc: Planning Department; correspondence
Subject: RE: Development Permit 22-037 – Request to Consider Original Natural Grade Before Placement of Fill

Dear s. 22(1),

If Council approves this proposed development permit, there is a condition in the permit that would require removal of the fill placed on the site (condition 2.4 in the proposed [development permit](#)) and reinstatement of natural grade. Then, for future building permits, the natural grade would be used for zoning calculations consistent with other sites across the District.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Best regards,

Michelle McGuire

Michelle McGuire, MCIP
Senior Manager of Current Planning and Urban Design | District of West Vancouver
t: 604-925-7059 | westvancouver.ca



From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2026 11:31 AM
To: correspondence
Cc: Planning Department; Michelle McGuire
Subject: Development Permit 22-037 – Request to Consider Original Natural Grade Before Placement of Fill

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Dear Mayor and Council / Legislative Services, I am writing regarding Development Permit 22-037 for Lots B and C and 2480, 2510, and 2605 Wentworth Avenue. [REDACTED] s. 22(1) and would like to place the following concern on the record for Council's consideration. During the Collingwood School expansion, fill was placed on portions of the land [REDACTED] s. 22(1). I respectfully request that any future development on these lands, including subdivision, siting, and building height review, be assessed with reference to the original natural grade of the site prior to the placement of fill, rather than relying only on the current finished grade. This is important to ensure that future construction is evaluated fairly and consistently, and that neighbouring properties are not adversely affected by development based on artificially raised grades. I understand that prior District staff correspondence indicated that notes had been placed in District records to identify that fill had been added to the site. I would appreciate confirmation that this historical condition will be taken into account in the review of any future development or building applications for these lands. Please include this correspondence in the public record for Council's consideration. Thank you for your time and attention. Sincerely, [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
[REDACTED] s. 22(1), West Van [REDACTED] s. 22(1)

From: Alisha Rafi
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 11:22 AM
To: s. 22(1)
Cc: correspondence
Subject: Sirens Installed on Lawson Creek - s.22(1)

Good Morning s. 22(1)

Thank you for your email to Council regarding the motion-activated cameras and sirens you observed within the creek corridor s.22(1).

We appreciate you bringing your concerns forward. We also acknowledge your questions regarding compliance with the District’s creek protection requirements and whether any authorization was sought or granted for the installation.

With respect to Creeks Bylaw No. 3013, 1982, staff have advised that the cameras are located on private property and do not constitute a “structure” for the purposes of the bylaw.

The bylaw defines “structure” as follows:

“Structure” includes any wall, crib, weir, bulkhead, dwelling, garage, building, outbuilding, or any part thereof, and anything of substance and conformation capable of affecting, influencing or controlling the flow of water in a creek under any circumstances and to any degree, or capable of damaging, weakening, lowering or eroding the effectiveness of the bank, bed or channel of a creek to contain and withhold water, including storm or flood water.

I have also confirmed with the Bylaw Department that officers responded to noise complaints regarding the sirens. Staff determined that the noise did not contravene the provisions of Noise Control Bylaw No. 4404, 2005. Staff also advised that there was insufficient evidence at the time to support enforcement action.

If you continue to have concerns regarding noise disturbance, you may submit further information or a call for service to the Bylaw Department at bylawdept@westvancouver.ca or by telephone at 604-925-7152.

Thank you again for taking the time to write.

Regards,

Alisha Rafi
Supervisor, Bylaw and Licensing Services
District of West Vancouver
t: 604-921-3410 | westvancouver.ca



We acknowledge that we are on the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territory of the [Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw \(Squamish Nation\)](#), [sáílwətał \(Tsleil-Waututh Nation\)](#) & [xʷməθkʷəy̓əm \(Musqueam Nation\)](#). We recognize and respect them as nations in this territory, as well as their historic connection to the lands and waters around us since time immemorial. *Learn more about each of these Nations; click each name to visit their official website*

This email and any files transmitted with it are considered confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are intended. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the email to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies of this email and attachment(s). Thank you.

From: [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2026 12:49 AM
To: Mark Sager, Mayor; correspondence; Council Workshops
Subject: sirens installed on Lawson Creek — [REDACTED] s. 22(1)
Attachments: Video.mov

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address [REDACTED] s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

Dear Mayor Sager and Council,

I'm writing as a follow-up to my previous correspondence regarding [REDACTED] s. 22(1), this time about a separate issue: motion-activated sirens installed [REDACTED] s. 22(1) within the creek corridor [REDACTED] s. 22(1).

For approximately five months, these sirens activated repeatedly — often multiple times a day, including early mornings and at night — in response to [REDACTED] s.22(1). I contacted Bylaw about this multiple times. On at least one occasion, I was told the matter had been resolved when it had not been, and I had to follow up again before the sirens were eventually turned off.

At the time, my concern was simply the disturbance itself. What I didn't know then, and only learned recently, is that the District's own Creeks Bylaw No. 3013 states that it is unlawful to place or alter any structure within a creek protection area without first obtaining permission from the Director of Operations, with penalties of between \$5,000 and \$50,000. I have attached a photo showing sirens, fencing and signage installed directly within the creek bed.

Given how many times Bylaw attended in relation to these sirens, I would have expected this requirement to have been raised at some point, and I'm concerned it may simply have been overlooked. I'd appreciate knowing whether any permit was ever sought or granted for this installation, and if not, what the District's process is for addressing it.

Thank you for your attention to this.

Sincerely,
[REDACTED] s. 22(1)







Sent from my iPhone

From: Pascal Cuk
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 2:17 PM
To: s. 22(1)
Cc: correspondence
Subject: RE: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt
Attachments: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt

Good afternoon.

Thank you for your email regarding written submissions for tonight's public hearing. All items received up to noon today have been included in the Notices section of our website and have also been forwarded to members of Council and printed for inclusion in public information binders. The online copy of the submissions [can be viewed here](#).

Please note that online copies of Council meeting agenda packages are not updated the day of a meeting but are instead updated the day after.

Thanks again,

Pascal Cuk he / him / his
Manager, Legislative Operations / Deputy Corporate Officer | District of West Vancouver
t: 604-925-7049 | westvancouver.ca

We acknowledge that we are on the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territory of the [Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw](#) (Squamish Nation), [səlílwətaʔ](#) (Tseil-Waututh Nation) & [xʷməθkʷəy̓əm](#) (Musqueam Nation). We recognize and respect them as nations in this territory, as well as their historic connection to the lands and waters around us since time immemorial. *Learn more about each of these Nations; click each name to visit their official website.*

From: s. 22(1)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2026 2:08 PM
To: correspondence
Subject: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization from email address s. 22(1). Do not click links or open attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe. If you believe this e-mail is suspicious, please report it to IT by marking it as SPAM.

correspondence@westvancouver.ca

Subject: VERIFICATION REQUEST: Written Submission for June 29 Public Hearing – 1552 Esquimalt

Dear Legislative Services / Corporate Officer,

I am writing to verify receipt of my written submission regarding the **1552 Esquimalt Infill proposal**, which is on the agenda for tonight's Public Hearing (June 29, 2026).

I emailed my submission this past **Friday evening, June 26**, well ahead of today's noon deadline. However, I noticed it is not yet listed in the online correspondence attachments.

Could you please confirm that my email was successfully received and has been included in the Council binder/supplemental package for the Mayor and Council tonight and that it was properly redacted?

- s. 22(1)
- [Redacted]
- **Submission Date/Time:** Friday evening, June 26

Thank you for your assistance in ensuring my feedback is part of the official public record.